

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 \*\*\*

5  
6 MARVIN ROY FELDMAN,  
7 Plaintiff,

2:09-cv-01598-JCM-VCF

8 vs.

**ORDER**

9 POKERTEK, INC.,

10 Defendant.

11 Before the Court is *Marvin Roy Feldman v. Pokertek, Inc.*, case no. 2:09-cv-01598-JCM-VCF.


12 On July 30, 2013, plaintiff Marvin Roy Feldman filed a second Motion to Enforce Settlement  
13 (#94). In its July 19, 2013 Order (#93) the court struck Mr. Feldman's first Motion to Enforce  
14 Settlement, pursuant to Local Rule IA 10-6(a) because Mr. Feldman is represented by the law firm of  
15 Gordon & Silver in this case. Since his lawyers did not file the first Motion to Enforce Settlement, it  
16 was stricken.

17 In his second motion, Mr. Feldman represents that, on Friday July 28, 2013, he received an e-  
18 mail from Mr. Greg Gorman, Gordon & Silver's managing partner. Mr. Feldman reports that Mr.  
19 Gorman stated in his e-mail, "the legal representation for which you retained Gordon Silver has ended.  
20 It is apparent that Gordon Silver cannot and will not represent you in any manner. To be clear, Gordon  
21 Silver is no longer your attorney in any matter and Gordon Silver's representation of you has  
22 terminated." (#94 at 1).

23 If Mr. Feldman's representation is true, the Court directs Mr. Olsen or Mr. Hogan, the Gordon &  
24 Silver attorneys of record in this case, to file a motion to withdraw, which will be addressed by the  
25 undersigned magistrate judge forthwith.

1           Once the Court record reflects the withdrawal of Mr. Olsen and Mr. Hogan in accordance with  
2 this court's rules, the Court will address Mr. Feldman's second Motion to Enforce Settlement  
3 Agreement (#94). Local Rule IA 10-6(b).

4           DATED this 2nd day of August, 2013.



5  
6 CAM FERENBACH  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE